arXiv:1603.02565v1 [cond-mat.soft] 8 Mar 2016

Large Slowdown of Water Dynamics at Stacked Phospholipid Membranes for Increasing Hydration Level: All-Atoms Molecular Dynamics

C. Calero,[1, a)] H. E. Stanley,[2] and G. Franzese[1]

[1)] *Departament de Física Fonamental, Universitat de Barcelona, Martí Franqués 1, 08028 Barcelona*

[2)] *Center for Polymer Studies and Department of Physics, Boston University, 590 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, USA*

(Dated: 9 March 2016)

Water hydrating phospholipid membranes determine their stability and function, as well as their interaction with other molecules. In this article we study, using all-atom molecular dynamics simulations, the rotational and translational dynamical properties of water molecules confined in stacked phospholipid membranes at different levels of hydration, from poorly hydrated to a completely hydrated membrane. We find that both the translational and the reorientation dynamics of water are dramatically slowed down as the hydration is reduced. Analyzing in details the structure and dynamics of the hydrogen bond at the interface, we show that both those among water molecules and those between water and lipids slow down by decreasing the hydration, however the latter are always slower than the former. By increasing hydration, water saturates all the possible hydrogen bonds with the lipids and, by further increase of hydration, the hydrogen bonds among waters becomes the majority. However, the dynamics of the water-lipids hydrogen bonds becomes up to one order of magnitude slower than that of the water-water hydrogen bonds, inducing a large slowing down of the dynamics of the entire system even at large hydration level.

Keywords: water, molecular dynamics, confinement, phospholipid membrane, diffusion

[a)] Electronic mail: ccalero@ffn.ub.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

Phospholipid membranes provide the framework to biological membranes, ubiquitous in nature as limiting structures of cells and organelles which separate interior contents from external environments. They are also the main component in the formation of liposomes, which are used as drug-delivery systems and in cosmetics[1].

Phospholipid membranes consist of two leaflets of amphiphilic lipids which self-assemble due to the hydrophobic effect[2]. The study of pure component membranes can help understand how basic biological membranes function and how they interact with the environment. It is of particular interest to comprehend the properties of water hydrating phospholipid membranes, since it determines the stability and function of the membrane and because water mediates the interaction between membranes and solutes such as ions, proteins, DNA and other membranes[3].

A range of experimental techniques have been used to study the properties of water hydrating membranes and other biomolecules, including neutron scattering[4], NMR[5,6], infrared spectroscopy[7], ultrafast vibrational spectroscopy[8], and terahertz spectroscopy[9]. In Ref.[6] the diffusion of water confined in the lamellar phase of egg phosphatidylcholine was investigated as a function of the hydration of the membrane. An important reduction (approximately a factor 10) of the water diffusion coefficient was reported for weakly hydrated systems, which was attributed to the interaction with the membrane; accordingly, the authors observe a monotonic increase of the diffusion coefficient with the membrane's hydration. Recent experiments[8,9] have investigated the dynamical rotational properties of water molecules at phospholipid membranes with varying water content using different choline-based phospholipids and experimental techniques. These studies show a global slow down in the dynamics of water molecules at the interface with phospholipid membranes and distinguish different types of water molecules according to their rotational dynamics—fast, irrotational, and bulk-like—which are related to the number of hydrogen bonds formed by water molecules. A valid support to the experiments to interpret the results and understand the properties of hydrated phospholipid membranes comes from all-atoms molecular dynamics (MD) simulations[3,10,11]. In particular, Zhang et al.[12] and Gruenbaum et al.[13] used MD simulations to investigate the rotational properties and the infrared spectra of water in hydrated dilauroylphosphatidylcholine (DLPC) and dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC) bilayers,



FIG. 1: Snapshots of the six systems considered in our study, with hydration levels (a) 34, (b) 20, (c) 15, (d) 10, (e) 7, and (f) 4. Gray and red beads represent phospholipid tails and headgroups, respectively. Blue and white beads represent oxygen and hydrogen atoms of water. The dashed line indicates the size of the simulation box.

respectively.

Here, we study via all-atom MD simulations the dynamical properties (both diffusion and rotational dynamics) of hydration water at stacked dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine (DMPC) phospholipid membranes as a function of their hydration level ω, defined as the number of water molecules per phospholipid. Among a wide variety of lipids, DMPC are phospholipids incorporating a choline as a headgroup and a tailgroup formed by two myristoyl chains. Choline based phospholipids are ubiquitous in cell membranes and used in drug targeting liposomes[1]. We also relate the dynamical behavior of water confined between bilayers with the structure and dynamics of the hydrogen bond network formed with other water molecules and with selected groups of the lipid.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We investigate the properties of TIP3P water confined between phospholipid membranes using MD simulations of hydrated DMPC phospholipid bilayers (see Methods section for further details). The use of periodic boundary conditions in our simulations allows us to describe a system of perfectly stacked phospholipid bilayers with a homogeneous prescribed hydration level ω. We consider six different systems of stacked hydrated phospholipid bilayers, with hydration levels $\omega = 4$, 7, 10, 15, 20, and 34 (Fig. 1), which include the low hydrated systems probed in experiment[7–9] and a fully hydrated membrane (with $\omega = 34$)[14].

A. Translational dynamics

We characterize the translational dynamics of water confined in stacked DMPC bilayers by calculating the mean-square displacement of the molecule's center of mass projected on the plane of the membrane ($\mathrm{MSD}_\parallel$). We simulate 10ns-trajectories saved every 0.1 ps, discard the initial 2ps until the diffusive regime is reached, and calculate the diffusion coefficients for confined water as

$$D_\parallel \equiv \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\langle |\mathbf{r}_\parallel(t) - \mathbf{r}_\parallel(0)|^2 \rangle}{4t}\,, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_\parallel(t)$ is the projection of the center of mass of a water molecule on the plane of the membrane and the angular brackets $\langle ... \rangle$ indicate average over all water molecules and time origins (Fig. 2(a)).

We find (Fig. 2) that the lower the hydration level the higher the water slow down, in agreement with previous experimental and computational studies[8,9,12,13]. Indeed, the diffusion coefficient increases monotonically with hydration (Fig. 2(b)), from $D_\parallel = 0.13$ $\mathrm{nm}^2/\mathrm{ns}$ for the lowest hydrated system ($\omega = 4$) to 3.4 $\mathrm{nm}^2/\mathrm{ns}$ for the completely hydrated membrane ($\omega = 34$), always being much smaller than the bulk value $D^{bulk} = 5.5$ $\mathrm{nm}^2/\mathrm{ns}$[15]. The large drop of the diffusion coefficient $D_\parallel$ for low hydrated membranes with respect to bulk water and its dependence with hydration are in qualitative agreement with experimental results of similar systems[6,16]. Nevertheless, a quantitative comparison with experimental results might be problematic due to the difficulty in experiment to ensure the homogeneity of hydration and the perfect alignment of the membranes in measuring $D_\parallel$. Moreover, this result can be also considered consistent with recent theoretical advances in our understanding



FIG. 2: Translational dynamics of confined water molecules projected on the plane of the membrane for the different stacked phospholipid bilayers. (a) Mean-square displacement on the plane of the membrane ($\mathrm{MSD}_\parallel$) as a function of time. (b) Diffusion coefficient of water molecules on the plane of the membrane for the different hydration levels considered.

of dimensionality dependence of diffusion[17] if we consider that the membrane is generating a rugged energy landscape for the confined water. Indeed, the theory of diffusion in a rugged energy landscape shows that the reduction of the diffusion coefficient from 3d to 2d can be of an order comparable to our result ($\sim$38% in our case).

B. Rotational dynamics

Next we study the rotational dynamics of the water molecules confined in stacked phospholipid bilayers by computing the rotational dipolar correlation function,

$$C_{sim}^{rot}(t) \equiv \langle \hat{\mu}(t) \cdot \hat{\mu}(0) \rangle \,, \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{\mu}(t)$ is the direction of the water dipole vector at time t and $\langle ... \rangle$ denote ensemble average over all water molecules and time origins (Fig. 3(a)). This quantity is related to recent terahertz dielectric relaxation measurements used to probe the reorientation dynamics of water[9]. To quantify the relaxation of the correlation functions $C_{sim}^{rot}(t)$ we define the relaxation time

$$\tau_{rot} \equiv \int_0^\infty C_{sim}^{rot}(t) dt \,, \tag{3}$$

which is not dependent on any assumptions on the functional form of the correlation function. The results for $C_{sim}^{rot}(t)$ and the corresponding relaxation times τ_{rot} (Table I) confirm

Hydration (ω)	4	7	10	15	20	34
τ_{rot}(ps)	290	99	45.6	29.0	21.7	12.4

TABLE I: Rotational relaxation time as calculated in Eq.(3) for the dipolar correlation function.



FIG. 3: (a) Rotational dipolar correlation function of water molecules obtained from the simulations of DMPC phospholipid bilayers with different levels of hydration ω. (b) Partition into (i) bulk-like, (ii) irrotational and (iii) fast water molecules as indicated by the fractions f_{bulk}, f_{irr} and f_{fast} of the three species.

the monotonic slowing-down of the rotational dynamics of water for decreasing membrane hydration as found in experiments and in previous computational works[8,9,12,13].

Inspection of Fig. 3 suggests the existence of different time-scales in the decay of the rotational correlation function $C^{rot}_{sim}(t)$ for all the cases considered: for all levels of hydration there is an initial rapid decrease of $C^{rot}_{sim}(t)$ followed by a much slower decay process. To characterize such time-scales in the relaxation of $C^{rot}_{sim}(t)$ we adopt the conclusions reached in the analysis of experiments by Tielrooij et al.[9] and assume the existence of three different water species in regards to their reorientation dynamics: (i) bulk-like water molecules whose reorientation dynamics resemble that of bulk water, with characteristic times τ_{bulk} of a few picoseconds, (ii) fast water molecules which reorient significantly faster than bulk water with $\tau_{fast} \approx$ fraction of picosecond, and (iii) irrotational water molecules which might relax with characteristic times $\tau_{irr} \gg 10$ps. With this assumption, we can identify the fractions f_{fast},

TABLE II: The five parameters of the fits for the rotational dipolar correlation function $C^{rot}_{sim}(t,\omega)$ resulting from MD simulations of stacked DMPC phospholipid membranes with different hydration level ω.

ω	τ_{fast}(ps)	τ_{bulk}(ps)	τ_{irr}(ps)	f_{fast}	f_{bulk}	$f_{irr} = 1 - f_{fast} - f_{bulk}$
4	0.53	5.1	321	0.1	0.1	0.8
7	0.48	9.8	168	0.1	0.32	0.58
10	0.5	10.3	154	0.09	0.62	0.29
15	0.44	4.8	121	0.06	0.7	0.24
20	0.48	5.2	130	0.05	0.75	0.2
34	0.47	3.2	117	0.04	0.85	0.11

f_{bulk} and f_{irr} of the three species as a function of ω by fitting $C^{rot}_{sim}(t,\omega)$ to a sum of pure exponentially decaying terms:

$$C_{fit}(t,\omega) = f_{fast}(\omega)e^{-t/\tau_{fast}} + f_{bulk}(\omega)e^{-t/\tau_{bulk}} + f_{irr}(\omega)e^{-t/\tau_{irr}}\,, \tag{4}$$

with

$$f_{fast}(\omega) + f_{bulk}(\omega) + f_{irr}(\omega) = 1 \tag{5}$$

for each ω. Following this procedure we can obtain a measure of the water partition into the three kinds of water molecules for different hydration levels of the bilayer. The results of the fits are shown in Table II, and the corresponding water partition is represented in Fig. 3 (b). This diagram qualitatively accounts for the experimental behavior reported in Ref.[9]. However, such fitting procedure is not robust–there are five fitting parameters for each ω–and the parameters τ_{fast}, τ_{bulk} and τ_{irr} are not showing any regular behavior as function of ω (see Table II). This suggests that the description in terms of such distinctive types of water might not be complete and that a more thorough analysis is needed.

C. Hydrogen bonds structure

In order to understand the results obtained for the translational and rotational dynamical properties of water confined at stacked phospholipid bilayers we analyze the hydrogen bond network formed by water molecules. In fact, the dynamics and thermodynamics of



FIG. 4: Average number $\langle n_{HB} \rangle$ of hydrogen bonds formed by water molecules confined in stacked phospholipid membranes as a function of their hydration level. We distinguish the average total number of hydrogen bonds (black dots), and the number of hydrogen bonds of a water molecule with lipid groups (red triangles).

liquid water is determined by the breaking and formation of hydrogen bonds participated by water[5,18–22]. Here, we have adopted the widely employed geometric definition of the hydrogen bond. We consider two molecules to be hydrogen bonded if the distance between donor and acceptor oxygen atoms satisfies $d_{OO} < 3.5$ Å and the angle formed by the OH bond of the donor molecule with the OO direction is $\theta < 30^o$. We have considered hydrogen bonds formed among water molecules and also among water and phosphate or carboxylate groups of the DMPC phospholipid[11].

Our results show a significant decrease in the average number $\langle n_{HB} \rangle$ of hydrogen bonds formed by water as we reduce the membrane hydration ω (Fig. 4). In addition, the number of hydrogen bonds formed with selected groups of the lipid increases for decreasing ω amounting to almost half of all the hydrogen bonds for the least hydrated case ($\omega = 4$).

To better understand the relation between slowing down of the water dynamics and structuring of water at the membrane interface, we analyze how the variation of hydration ω affects the distribution of hydrogen bonds made by water with water, by water with lipids in the membrane and the distribution of the total number of existing hydrogen bonds (Fig. 5). In addition, we calculate the probability distribution that a water molecule forms n_{wat} hydrogen bonds with other water molecules *and* at the same time n_{lip} hydrogen bonds with lipids in the membrane (Fig. 6). We consider in details the results for the case with



FIG. 5: Distribution of the number of hydrogen bonds formed by a water molecule in stacked membranes with three different levels of hydration: (a) $\omega = 4$, (b) $\omega = 10$, and (c) $\omega = 34$. For each case we show the normalized distribution of the total number of hydrogen bonds (right-most panels), of those formed with other water molecules (left-most panels) and of those with lipid groups (center panels).

lowest hydration $\omega = 4$, with a completely hydrated membrane $\omega = 34$, and with an intermediate hydration $\omega = 10$. We find that the distributions change upon changing the water content.

For the least hydrated membrane ($\omega = 4$) we find that a water molecule forms in general two or three hydrogen bonds, of which with large probability one or two with a phospholipid, and one or two with another water molecules (Fig. 5(a)). This implies that the bond configurations with the largest probabilities—in terms of (n_{wat}, n_{lip})—are (2,1), or (1,1), or (1,2), i.e. there is a small probability that a water molecules is not bonded at least to one



FIG. 6: Fraction of water molecules forming n_{wat} hydrogen bonds with other water molecules and at the same time n_{lip} hydrogen bonds with lipids, indicated along the x-axis as (n_{wat}, n_{lip}), for hydration levels $\omega = 4$, 15, 34. At high hydration (blue circles) the hydrogen bonds are mainly among water molecules, while at low hydration (red squares) are more likely those that involve at least one lipid. At medium hydration (green triangles) we find an intermediate distribution.

lipid and one water at the same time (Fig. 6).

For the membrane with hydration $\omega = 10$ we find that a water molecule forms mainly 3 ± 1 hydrogen bonds (Fig. 5(b)), that are mainly in the configurations (2,1) and (3,0) (Fig. 6). Therefore, there is a large probability that a water molecules is bonded to two or three more water molecules, but there is also a good chance that it will be bonded to a lipid.

Finally for the completely hydrated membrane (with $\omega = 34$) we find that a water molecules forms more likely three or four hydrogen bonds (Fig. 5(c)). Of these bonds, very few are with lipids, while almost all of them are among water molecules (Fig. 6).

Despite the large differences in the way hydrogen bonds are formed, the overall hydrogen bond probability distribution does not change significantly with ω. In all cases, the probability distribution peaks at three hydrogen bonds and, as the hydration increases, there is only a shift towards higher numbers of hydrogen bonds of the secondary peaks. In all cases there is a small probability that a water molecule forms a single hydrogen bond and this probability decreases for increasing hydration level of the membrane. By looking at all our results, we suggest that the population of water molecules with only one hydrogen bond



FIG. 7: Relaxation of the time correlation functions $C_{HB}^{w-w}(t)$ (a) and $C_{HB}^{w-l}(t)$ (b) for stacked phospholipid membranes with hydration levels $\omega = 4$ (black circles), $\omega = 7$ (blue squares), $\omega = 10$ (cyan triangles up), $\omega = 15$ (green triangles down), $\omega = 20$ (pink pentagons), $\omega = 34$ (red hexagons).

could represent the fast reorienting water observed in experiment[7,9].

D. Hydrogen bonds dynamics

We have investigated the time evolution of the hydrogen bond network formed by water molecules to link the results obtained for the hydrogen bond distribution with the dynamics of confined water at stacked DMPC phospholipid membranes. To this end, we calculate the time correlation functions

$$C_{HB}^{w-\alpha}(t) \equiv \frac{\langle n^{w-\alpha}(t) n^{w-\alpha}(0) \rangle}{\langle n^{w-\alpha}(0) \rangle}\,, \tag{6}$$

where $n^{w-\alpha}(t) \equiv$ equals one when at time t a given water forms a hydrogen bond with another water ($\alpha = w$) or a lipid ($\alpha = l$), and is zero otherwise. The brackets $\langle ... \rangle$ indicate averaging over all water-water or water-lipid group pairs and multiple time origins. $C_{HB}^{w-\alpha}(t)$ provides a measure of the probability that a hydrogen bond at time 0 remains formed at a later time t (Fig. 7).

To quantify the relaxation of the correlation functions $C_{HB}^{w-\alpha}(t)$ we define the relaxation times

$$\tau_{HB}^{w-\alpha} \equiv \int_0^\infty C_{HB}^{w-\alpha}(t) dt\,, \tag{7}$$

which are computed directly from the MD simulations trajectories. We find that the relaxation of the water-water hydrogen bond correlation function $C_{HB}^{w-w}(t)$ slows down dramatically as the hydration level of the membrane decreases (Fig. 7(a)). In fact, as shown in Table III, the relaxation times of the water-water hydrogen bond network decrease monotonically from a value of $\tau_{HB}^{w-w} = 110$ ps for the case with $\omega = 4$ to $\tau_{HB}^{w-w} = 4.0$ ps for the completely hydrated membrane (with $\omega = 34$). This behavior indicates that the hydrogen bonds formed between water molecules in proximity to phospholipids are significantly more stable than those formed between water molecules in bulk. This result can be attributed at least to two causes:

1. the formation of hydrogen bonds with water molecules which are in turn bonded through long-lived hydrogen bonds to the phospholipid (Fig. 7(b));

2. the lower average density of water molecules at the membrane interface $\bar{\rho}_w$ (see Table III), which hinders the hydrogen bonding switch between water molecules[12,20].

We find that $C_{HB}^{w-l}(t)$ exhibits a much slower relaxation than $C_{HB}^{w-w}(t)$ for all cases (Fig. 7(b)). This fact points to the robustness of the water-lipid hydrogen bonds with respect to those among water molecules.

Furthermore, we find that the relaxation of water-lipid hydrogen bonds is unaffected by the level of hydration of the membrane for cases with $\omega \geq 10$ (Fig. 7(b)), having relaxation times τ_{HB}^{w-l} that reach a stable value of $\tau_{HB}^{w-l} \approx 40$ ps regardless of the the hydration level for $\omega \geq 10$ (Table III). This effect can be emphasized by calculating the slowing-down factor $\kappa \equiv \tau_{HB}^{w-l}/\tau_{HB}^{w-w}$ of the water-lipid with respect to the water-water hydrogen bonds. We find that κ changes from $\simeq 2$ at low hydration to $\simeq 10$ at fully hydration (Table III). This result suggests that for $7 < \omega < 10$ there is a saturation of the water-membrane interface where water molecules directly interact with lipid headgroups, in agreement with X-ray scattering experiments[23]. Upon increasing the level of hydration, such region of the interface is not modified and its relative slowing-down with respect to the dynamics of the water away from the interface become stronger at higher hydration.

Therefore, our results show that the dynamic slowing-down (both translational and rotational) of water confined between stacked DMPC phospholipid bilayers upon decrease of the hydration level is a consequence of a combination of two factors: (i) the slowing-down of both water-water and water-lipid hydrogen bonds dynamics, and (ii) a higher proportion of

TABLE III: Relaxation times of the correlation functions $C_{HB}^{w-\alpha}(t)$, $\tau_{HB}^{w-\alpha}$, with $\alpha = w, l$, for stacked phospholipid membranes with hydration level ω. For each system we provide the value of the average water density, given by $\bar{\rho}_w \equiv N_w/(A_{XY} \times d)$, where N_w is the number of water molecules in the system, A_{XY} is the area of the membrane, and d is the width of the water-membrane interface. We also explicitly indicate the slowing-down factor κ of the $w-l$ with respect to the $w-w$ hydrogen bonds.

Hydration (ω)	τ_{HB}^{w-w}(ps)	τ_{HB}^{w-l}(ps)	$\bar{\rho}_{wat}$ (g/cm^3)	$\kappa \equiv \tau_{HB}^{w-l}/\tau_{HB}^{w-w}$
4	110 ±10	242 ±10	0.21	2.2
7	31 ±5	80 ±10	0.30	2.6
10	12 ±2	44 ±5	0.37	3.7
15	7.1 ±0.5	38 ±5	0.45	5.3
20	5.1 ±0.5	35 ±5	0.52	6.7
34	4.0 ±0.5	38 ±5	0.65	9.5

water-lipid hydrogen bonds at low hydrations. Moreover, the fact that the effect is strong also at high hydration, where the proportion of water-water hydrogen bonds is higher, is a consequence of the fact that water saturates the interface region forming water-lipid hydrogen bonds that are one order of magnitude slower than those among water molecules.

III. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the dynamical properties of water confined in stacked DMPC phospholipid membranes with different hydration levels, from poorly hydrated systems (with $\omega = 4$ water molecules per phospholipid corresponding to approximately one layer of water between the two membranes, with membrane-to-membrane distance $h \lesssim 0.3$ nm) to a completely hydrated membrane (with $\omega = 34$, $10 \div 15$ confined water layers, $3 \lesssim h/\text{nm} \lesssim 4.5$). For both the translational diffusion and the reorientation dynamics of water we find a dramatic slowing down upon reducing the hydration level. Indeed, the diffusion coefficient of water on the plane of the membrane monotonically decreases from $D_{\parallel} = 3.4$ nm^2/ns for the completely hydrated membrane to $D_{\parallel} = 0.13$ nm^2/ns for the lowest hydrated case. We find a similar behavior for the water reorientation dynamics, for which the characteristic

relaxation times increase monotonically from $\tau_{rot} = 12.4$ps at $\omega = 34$ to $\tau_{rot} = 290$ps at $\omega = 4$.

To better understand the origin of the slowing down, we analyze how water organizes near the membrane, defining the interface as the region where water can form hydrogen bonds with the lipids. We find that the fraction of water-lipid hydrogen bonds increases for decreasing hydration, while the water-water hydrogen bonds increase in number for high hydration, with a relatively small population of water-lipid hydrogen bonds.

The study of the hydrogen-bonds dynamics give us further insight into the relative proportion of hydrogen bonds between water and lipids and those among water molecules. Our results show that the population of the water-lipid hydrogen bonds saturates for increasing hydration and is characterized by relaxation times that can be one order of magnitude longer than those for the water-water hydrogen bond. As a consequence, although at high hydration the water-lipid hydrogen bonds are relatively low in number with respect to the total number of hydrogen bonds, their large increase of characteristic relaxation time induces a significant slowing-down of the dynamics of the entire confined water. We emphasize this effect by calculating the slowing-down factor of the water-lipid hydrogen bonds with respect to water-water hydrogen bond slowing-down factor. We observe the consequences of this slowing-down on the diffusion constant $D_{\|}$ that is strongly reduced with respect to the bulk case. We partially attribute this reduction to the heterogeneity of the interface that generates a rugged energy landscape for the confined water, consistent with recent theoretical works[17].

In addition, we show that water-water hydrogen bonds are more robust the lower the hydration of the system; we attribute such an effect (i) to the formation of hydrogen bonds with water molecules which are in turn hydrogen-bonded to the lipid and (ii) to the lower water density at the interface in those systems, which hinders the hydrogen bonding switch between water molecules. Both effects contribute to an overall slow down of the dynamics of the confined water upon reduction of the hydration of stacked DMPC phospholipid.

We have also analyzed the reorientation dynamics of water molecules in terms of the existence of three distinctive types of water molecules (fast, bulk-like and irrotational), as suggested by recent experiments[9]. From a multiexponential fit of the water reorientation dynamics we obtain the partition of water molecules into the assumed water types, which qualitatively agrees with experiment. However, the best fits are obtained for relaxation

times which are different for each of the hydration levels considered, which suggests that such a partition might be an incomplete description of the confined water system and that further analysis is required.

In conclusion, our analysis clearly show that the formation of long-living, slow-relaxing hydrogen bonds of water with the lipids at the interface with the membrane are among the main responsible for the large dynamic slowing-down of water confined between membranes. Our results are possibly relevant for studying the mechanical properties of biological membranes.

IV. METHODS

We prepare six different systems of hydrated phospholipid bilayers with hydration levels (i.e. water molecules per lipid) $\omega = 4$, 7, 10, 15, 20, and 34 (Fig. 1); we consider from the weakly hydrated systems used in experiment[7–9] to a fully hydrated membrane (with hydration level $\omega = 34$)[14]. The bilayer is composed by 128 dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine (DMPC) lipids distributed in two leaflets. We apply periodic boundary conditions in all three dimensions, which allows us to describe a system of stacked bilayers with perfect periodicity along the direction perpendicular to the plane of the membrane.

We perform Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations using the molecular dynamics simulation package NAMD 2.9[24] at a temperature of 303 K and an average pressure of 1 atm. We set the simulation time step to 1 fs. We describe the structure of phospholipids and their mutual interactions by the recently parameterized force field CHARMM36[25,26], which is able to reproduce the area per lipid in excellent agreement with experimental data. The water model employed in our simulations, consistent with the parametrization of CHARMM36, is the modified TIP3P[27,28]. We cut off the Van der Waals interactions at 12 Åwith a smooth switching function starting at 10 Å. We compute the long ranged electrostatic forces with the help of the particle mesh Ewald method[29], with a grid space of about 1 Å. We update the electrostatic interactions every 2 fs. After energy minimization, we equilibrate each system for 10 ns followed by a production run of 50 ns in the NPT ensemble at 1 atm. In the simulations, we control the temperature by a Langevin thermostat[30] with a damping coefficient of 1 ps^{-1}, and we control the pressure by a Nosé-Hoover Langevin barostat[31] with a piston oscillation time of 200 fs and a damping time of 100 fs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Marco Bernabei, Valentino Bianco, Sergey Buldyrev, Jordi Martì and Oriol Vilanova for useful discussions. C.C. and G.F. acknowledge the support of Spanish MINECO grant FIS2012-31025. C.C. acknowledges the support from the Catalan Governament Beatriu de Pinós program (BP-DGR 2011). The author thankfully acknowledges the computer resources, technical expertise and assistance provided by the Red Española de Supercomputación.

REFERENCES

[1]Ian W. Hamley. *Introduction to Soft Matter*. John Wiley and sons, West Sussex, England, 2007.

[2]J.F.Nagle and S.Tristam-Nagle. *Biophys.Acta*, 1469:159, 2000.

[3]Max L Berkowitz, David L Bostick, and Sagar Pandit. Aqueous solutions next to phospholipid membrane surfaces: insights from simulations. *Chemical reviews*, 106(4):1527–1539, 2006.

[4]J. Fitter, R. E. Lechner, and N. A. Dencher. Interactions of hydration water and biological membranes studied by neutron scattering. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 103(38):8036–8050, 1999.

[5]Marco G Mazza, Kevin Stokely, Sara E Pagnotta, Fabio Bruni, H Eugene Stanley, and Giancarlo Franzese. More than one dynamic crossover in protein hydration water. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(50):19873–19878, 2011.

[6]Stephen R. Wassall. Pulsed field gradient-spin echo nmr studies of water diffusion in a phospholipid model membrane. *Biophysical Journal*, 71:2724–2732, 1996.

[7]Victor V. Volkov, D. Jason Palmer, and Roberto Righini. Distinct water species confined at the interface of a phospholipid membrane. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 99:078302, Aug 2007.

[8]Wei Zhao, David E. Moilanen, Emily E. Fenn, and Michael D. Fayer. Water at the surfaces of aligned phospholipid multibilayer model membranes probed with ultrafast vibrational spectroscopy. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 130(42):13927–13937, 2008. PMID: 18823116.

[9]K. J. Tielrooij, D. Paparo, L. Piatkowski, H. J. Bakker, and M. Bonn. Dielectric relaxation

dynamics of water in model membranes probed by terahertz spectroscopy. *Biophysical Journal*, 97:2848–2492, 2009.

[10]Tomasz Róg, Krzysztof Murzyn, and Marta Pasenkiewicz-Gierula. The dynamics of water at the phospholipid bilayer surface: a molecular dynamics simulation study. *Chemical physics letters*, 352(5):323–327, 2002.

[11]Shreyas Y Bhide and Max L Berkowitz. Structure and dynamics of water at the interface with phospholipid bilayers. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 123(22):224702, 2005.

[12]Zhancheng Zhang and Max L Berkowitz. Orientational dynamics of water in phospholipid bilayers with different hydration levels. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 113(21):7676–7680, 2009.

[13]SM Gruenbaum and JL Skinner. Vibrational spectroscopy of water in hydrated lipid multibilayers. i. infrared spectra and ultrafast pump-probe observables. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 135(7):075101, 2011.

[14]J.F.Nagle, R.Zhang, S.Tristam-Nagle, H.I.Petrache, and R.M.Suter. *Biophysical Journal*, 70:1419, 1996.

[15]Carlos Vega, Jose LF Abascal, MM Conde, and JL Aragones. What ice can teach us about water interactions: a critical comparison of the performance of different water models. *Faraday discussions*, 141:251–276, 2009.

[16]M. Rudakova, A. Filippov, and V. Skirda. Water diffusivity in model biological membranes. *Appl. Magn. Reson.*, 27:519–526.

[17]K. Seki, K. Bagchi, and B. Bagchi. Anomalous dimensionality dependence of diffusion in a rugged energy landscape : How pathological is one dimension ? *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2016.

[18]G. Franzese and H. E. Stanley. Liquid-liquid critical point in a hamiltonian model for water: analytic solution. *Journal of Physics-Condensed Matter*, 14(9):2201–2209, Mar 2002.

[19]P. Kumar, G. Franzese, S. V. Buldyrev, and H. E. Stanley. Molecular dynamics study of orientational cooperativity in water. *Physical Review E*, 73(4):041505, Apr 2006.

[20]Damien Laage and James T Hynes. A molecular jump mechanism of water reorientation. *Science*, 311(5762):832–835, 2006.

[21]Kevin Stokely, Marco G Mazza, H Eugene Stanley, and Giancarlo Franzese. Effect of hydrogen bond cooperativity on the behavior of water. *Proceedings of the National Academy*

of Sciences, 107(4):1301–1306, 2010.

[22]Francisco de los Santos and Giancarlo Franzese. Relations between the diffusion anomaly and cooperative rearranging regions in a hydrophobically nanoconfined water monolayer. *Physical Review E*, 85(1):010602–, 01 2012.

[23]Norbert Kučerka, Yufeng Liu, Nanjun Chu, Horia I Petrache, Stephanie Tristram-Nagle, and John F Nagle. Structure of fully hydrated fluid phase dmpc and dlpc lipid bilayers using x-ray scattering from oriented multilamellar arrays and from unilamellar vesicles. *Biophysical journal*, 88(4):2626–2637, 2005.

[24]James C Phillips, Rosemary Braun, Wei Wang, James Gumbart, Emad Tajkhorshid, Elizabeth Villa, Christophe Chipot, Robert D Skeel, Laxmikant Kalé, and Klaus Schulten. Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 26(16):1781–1802, 2005.

[25]Jeffery B Klauda, Richard M Venable, J Alfredo Freites, Joseph W O'Connor, Douglas J Tobias, Carlos Mondragon-Ramirez, Igor Vorobyov, Alexander D MacKerell, and Richard W Pastor. Update of the CHARMM All-Atom Additive Force Field for Lipids: Validation on Six Lipid Types. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 114(23):7830–7843, 2010.

[26]Joseph B Lim, Brent Rogaski, and Jeffery B Klauda. Update of the cholesterol force field parameters in CHARMM. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 116(1):203–210, 2012.

[27]William L. Jorgensen, Jayaraman Chandrasekhar, Jeffry D. Madura, Roger W. Impey, and Michael L. Klein. Comparison of Simple Potential Functions for Simulating Liquid Water. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 79(2):926–935, 1983.

[28]Jr. A. D. MacKerell, D. Bashford, M. Bellott, Jr. R. L. Dunbrack, J. D. Evanseck, M. J. Field, S. Fischer, J. Gao, H. Guo, S. Ha, D. Joseph-McCarthy, L. Kuchnir, K. Kuczera, F. T. K. Lau, C. Mattos, S. Michnick, T. Ngo, D. T. Nguyen, B. Prodhom, W. E. Reiher, B. Roux, M. Schlenkrich, J. C. Smith, R. Stote, J. Straub, M. Watanabe, J. Wiórkiewicz-Kuczera, D. Yin, and M. Karplus. All-atom empirical potential for molecular modeling and dynamics studies of proteins. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 102(18):3586–3616, 1998.

[29]U.Essmann, L.Perera, M.L.Berkowitz, T.Darden, H.Lee, and L.G.Pedersen. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 103:8577, 1995.

[30]H.J.C.Berendsen, J.P.M.Postma, W.F.van Gunsteren, A.DiNola, and J.R.Haak. *J.Phys.Chem.*, 81:3684, 1984.

[31]S.E.Feller, Y.Zhang, R.W.Pastor, and B.R.Brooks. *J.Phys.Chem.*, 103:4613, 1995.